

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DECISION	1
1.1	Selected Alternative Description	1
1.2	Cost Estimate.....	3
2	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	4
2.1	Screening Criteria	4
2.2	Alternatives Development and Screening	5
2.3	Alternatives Considered in the EIS	6
3	SECTION 4(f).....	8
3.1	Use of Section 4(f) Properties	8
3.2	Avoidance Alternatives and Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) Properties	8
3.3	Mitigation	10
4	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM AND MITIGATION.....	11
4.1	Land Use	11
4.2	Social and Demographic.....	11
4.3	Environmental Justice.....	11
4.4	Acquisitions and Relocations.....	11
4.5	Farmlands.....	11
4.6	Economics	12
4.7	Noise.....	12
4.8	Air Quality	12
4.9	Visual Quality.....	13
4.10	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	13
4.11	Hazardous Materials	14
4.12	Water Resources	14
4.13	Vegetation and Invasive Species	14
4.14	Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.....	15
4.15	Wildlife, Threatened and Endangered Species, and Special Status Plants	16
4.16	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	17
4.17	Geology and Soils.....	18

4.18	Construction.....	18
4.19	Cumulative Impacts	18
4.20	Energy	20
5	MONITORING / ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.....	21
6	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.....	21
7	COMMENTS ON THE FEIS.....	22
7.1	City of Orem (July 11, 2008)	22
7.2	City of Lehi (July 22, 2008)	23
7.3	City of Bluffdale (Received August 1, 2008)	26
7.4	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (July 30, 2008).....	26
8	CONCLUSION	29
EXHIBIT A	Design Refinements for American Fork Main Street	31
EXHIBIT B	Mitigation Commitments	35
EXHIBIT C	Comments on the FEIS.....	47
EXHIBIT D	Central Utah Water Conservancy District's Comment on the DEIS; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Comments on Functional Assessment; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Concurrence Letter	87